Revenue for the quarter at Rs.47,715 Mn, up 16% sequentially Profit from operations for the quarter at Rs. 9,889 Mn, up 32% sequentially

Tech Mahindra Limited

RTI	_					Rs. in Lakhs	
Particulars	September 30,	June 30,	Cantambas	Half yea		Year ended	The Board of erstwhile Satyam had proposed a dividend for the year en
	2013	2013	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	March 31, 2013	(including dividend tax thereon), which was provided for in its financial state
	(Refer	(Refer	(Refer	(Refer	(Refer	(Refer	on June 24, 2013, the dividend could not be approved by the shareholders in
	Note 5)	Note 5)	Note 5)	Note 5)	Note 5)	Note 5)	shareholders, who have been issued Tech Mahindra Limited (TML) shares
						.iioto o/	dividend of Rs 5 per share . As shares of erstwhile Satyam held by Venturb
1 Income from Operations	477,149	410,323	163,140	887,472	317,482	687,308	Lakhs, relating to the said shares of Venturbay which have been cancelled, w
272						,	2.2 Other adjustments / matters arising out of amalgamation:
2 Expenses	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000		0.0000000000	0.00			In terms of the Scheme, the appointed date of the amalgamation being Apr
a) Employee benefits expense	243,736	220,535	89,561	464,271	171,148	367,200	2011-12 and 2012-13 aggregating Rs. 197355 Lakhs has been transferred,
 b) Travelling Expenses c) Services rendered by Business Associates & Others 	19,433	18,089	5,543	37,522	11,561	27,274	in the books of the Company upon amalgamation.
d) Depreciation and amortisation expense	37,802 12,219	30,976 11,743	15,521 4,806	68,778	31,134	66,145	Pursuant to the Scheme, the title deeds for the immovable properties perta
e) Other expenses	65,069	54,275	18,745	23,962 119,344	9,019	19,996	Company. Further, the Company has initiated the name change formalities to
Total Expenses	378,259	335,618	134,176	713,877	36,846 259,708	84,265 564,880	2.3 Appeal against the order sanctioning the Scheme
	2.3,444	555,515	104,170	/ 10,0//	209,700	304,000	Appeals against the order by the single judge of the Honorable High Court of
3 Profit from operations before other income and finance	98,890	74,705	28,964	173,595	£2.77.		before the Division Bench of the Honorable High Court of Andhra Pradesh. N
costs (1-2)	30,030	74,703	20,904	173,595	57,774	122,428	One of the said party has also appealed against the order of the single judges.
							combined with the above appeal for hearing.
4 Other Income							3. Matters pertaining to erstwhile Satyam Computer Services Limited (
Miscellaneous income	6,414	7,351	555	13,765	1,241	4,424	3.1 Investigation by authorities in India
Exchange gain / (loss)	(2,606)	13,379	(6,953)	10,773	(9,374)	(11,894)	In the letter of Jan 7, 2009 (the "letter") of Mr. B. Ramalinga Raju, the ti
Total	3,808	20,730	(6,398)	24,538	(8,133)	(7,470)	Satyam as at September 30, 2008 carried an inflated cash and bank balance
F. D. O. L F							position .
5 Profit before finance costs (3+4)	102,698	95,435	22,566	198,133	49,641	114,958	Consequently, various regulators/ investigating agencies such as the Central
6 Finance costs					DE AL . C 47 555		of Companies (ROC), Directorate of Enforcement (ED), etc., had initiated the
Interest Cost on Borrowing							As per the assessment of the Management, based on the forensic inv
Currency Translation Loss / (Gain) on Foreign Currency	2,349	1,320	1,943	3,669	3,893	8,730	adjustments/disclosures arising from the identified financial irregularities, had
Loan	. 66	910	337	976	790	1,574	
Total	2,415	2,230	2,280	4.645	4.683		Considerable time has elapsed after the initiation of investigation by various
	2,410	2,230	2,200	4,045	4,683	10,304	of the various ongoing investigations against erstwhile Satyam which required
7 Profit before tax (5-6)	100,283	93,205	20,286	193,488	44,958	104,654	Further, no new claims have been made when the Andhra Pradest evaluation/adjustment/disclosure in the books, and all existing claims have be
		8		100,100	44,000	104,004	status.
8 Tax expense	28,401	23,278	2,511	51,679	8,358	23,554	Considering the above, notwithstanding the pendency of the various
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000				0.000	20,001	investigations/proceedings would not result in any additional material provis
9 Profit after tax but before share of profit / (loss) in	71,882	69,927	17,775	141,809	36,600	81,100	disclosed) in the financial statements of the Company.
Associate and minority interest (7-8)	7 7,002	00,021	17,775	141,000	30,000	81,100	3.2 Forensic investigation and nature of financial irregularities
							Consequent to the aforesaid letter, the Government nominated Board of Dire
10 Share of profit/(loss) in Associate							an investigation of the financial irregularities. The Counsel appointed forens
 Profit after Tax and minority Interest (excluding 			11,851	201	26,874	56,469	and preparation of the financial statements of erstwhile Satyam.
exceptional items)			11,001		20,074	200	The forensic investigation conducted by the forensic accountants investiga
- Exceptional items						(6,826)	focused on the period from April 1, 2002 to September 30, 2008, being the la
44 People People and above of a spirit and a boundary to				100			of the letter. In certain instances, the forensic accountants conducted investig
11 Profit after tax and share of profit/(loss) in Associate but before minority interest (9+10)	71,882	69,927	29,626	141,809	63,474	130,743	The forensic investigation had originally indicated possible diversion aggrega-
before millionly interest (9+10)			82		100000000		relating to erstwhile Satyam. The amount was revised to USD 19 Million base
12 Minority Interest	(39)	(1,296)		(4.005)			
12 millority friterest	(39)	(1,290)		(1,335)		(1,962)	The overall impact of the fictitious entries and unrecorded transactions arisin
13 Net Profit for the period (11+12)	71,843	68,631	29,626	140,474	63,474	128,781	financial statements for the financial year ended March 31, 2009 of erstwhile
The state of the s	7 1,040	00,001	20,020	140,474	03,474	120,761	Based on the forensic investigation, an aggregate amount of Rs. 113932 La
			-				March 31, 2009 under "Unexplained differences suspense account (net)" con and (ii) Rs. 112201 lakhs(net debit) being fictitious assets and unrecorder
14 Paid-up Equity Share Capital (Face Value of Share Rs.	23,239	12,877	40.704				amounts had been provided for by erstwhile Satyam in the financial year
10)	23,239	12,077	12,764	23,239	12,764	12,812	Management even after the lapse of three years, the said amount of Rs. 11:
							half year ended September 30, 2013.
15 Consideration on amalgamation pending allotment	120 7	10,349	200				The forensic investigation was unable to identify the nature of certain allege
(Face Value of Share Rs. 10)		10,040		2.1	1 8	- 1	Satyam had received legal notices from 37 companies claiming repayment
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000				900000000000000000000000000000000000000		below.
16 Loan Funds - Listed Debentures	30,000	30,000	60,000	30,000	60,000	60,000	3.3 Alleged advances
						1,120,000	Consequent to the letter of the erstwhile Chairman, on January 8, 2009
17 Reserves excluding revaluation reserve			-	-		500,161	confirmation by way of acknowledgement for receipt of certain alleged amou
18 Debesture Bademation Bassace							from these companies dated August 4/5, 2009, claiming repayment of Rs. 1
18 Debenture Redemption Reserve				1.0	-	53,379	damages/ compensation @18% per annum from date of advance till date of
19 Earnings Per Share (Rs.):(Not Annualized)							thirty seven companies and has replied to the legal notices stating that the cla
This has been computed after taking into account the Equity							The Directorate of Enforcement (ED) is investigating the matter under the
Shares relating to the consideration on amalgamation pending		0					Satyam to furnish details with regard to the alleged advances and has also di
allotment.							furtherance to the investigation by the ED, the erstwhile Satyam was serve
- Basic	30.96	29.60	23.23	60.53	49.76	100,85	Director, Directorate of Enforcement, Hyderabad under Section 5(1) of the aggregating Rs. 82200 Lakhs for a period of 150 days. This attachment wa
- Diluted	30.27	29.00	22.31	59.18	47.79	96.68	promoters of erstwhile Satyam and others and investigation conducted by the
				00.10	47.75	30.00	that Rs. 82200 Lakhs constitutes "proceeds of crime" as defined in the PMI
20 Ratios							Andhra Pradesh ("the Writ"). The Honorable High Court of Andhra Pradesh (
- Debt Equity Ratio				0.04	0.28	0.27	proceedings pursuant thereto vide its interim order dated December 11, 2
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (DSCR) Interest Service Coverage Ratio (ISCR)		-	-	1.01 37.37	0.41 12.34	0.41 11.88	Honorable High Court of Andhra Pradesh which is pending disposal. The ED 2013 to direct the banks with whom the aforementioned fixed deposits are

PART II : Selected Information for the Quarter ended September 30, 2013

Interest Service Coverage Ratio (ISCR)
Note: Suggested definition for Coverage Ratios:
ISCR = Earnings before Interest and Tax / Interest Expense.
DSCR = Earnings before Interest and Tax / Interest + Principal Repay.
See accompanying note to the financial results

	Particulars	Q	uarter ended		Half yea	Year ended	
		September 30, 2013	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2013	September	March 31,
A	PARTICULARS OF SHAREHOLDING (Without considering the consideration on amalgamation pending allotment at June 30, 2013 - Refer Note 4)	2010	2013	30, 2012	30, 2013	30, 2012	2013
1	Public Shareholding - Number of shares	147,654,059	68,033,486	55.292.764	147,654,059	55,292,764	67.382.045
	- Percentage of shareholding	63.54%	52.83%	43.32%	63.54%	43.32%	52.59%
2	Promoters and promoter group Shareholding a) Pledged/encumbered						
	 Number of shares Percentage of shares (as a % of the total shareholding of 	1	1	1			
	promoter and promoter group) - Percentage of shares (as a % of the total share capital of the company)						
	b) Non-encumbered - Number of shares	84,736,978	60,736,978	72,348,417	84,736,978	72,348,417	60,736,978
	 Percentage of shares (as a % of the total shareholding of promoter and promoter group) 	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	 Percentage of shares (as a % of the total share capital of the company) 	36.46%	47.17%	56.68%	36.46%	56.68%	47.41%

• The Board of erstwhile Salyam had proposed a dividend for the year ended March 31, 2013 of Rs. 0.60 per equity share amounting to Rs. 82 (including dividend tax thereon), which was provided for in its financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2013. Since the merger has become on June 24, 2013, the dividend could not be approved by the shareholders in the AGM which was scheduled to be held on 2nd August 2013. Eretwill shareholders, who have been issued Tech Mathindra Limited (TML) shares in the ratio of 2 shares in TML for 17 shares in restwhile Salyam, are dividend of Rs 5 per share. As shares of erstwhile Salyam held by Vertiturbay are cancelled on the merger, there is an excess provision of dividend of Lakins, relating to the said shares of Vertiturbay with have been cancelled, which has been reversed from the proposed dividend of

2.2 Other adjustments / matters arising out of amalgamation: In terms of the Scheme, the appointed date of the amalgamation plant in terms of the Scheme, the appointed date of the amalgamation being April 1, 2011, net profit from the amalgamating companies during the financial years 2011-12 and 2012-13 aggregating Rs. 197355 Lakhe has been transferred, to the extent not accounted already, to the Surplus in Statement of Profit and Loss in the books of the Company upon amalgamation.
Pursuant to the Scheme, the title deeds for the immovable properties portaining to the amalgamating companies are pending conveyance in the name of the Company. Further, the Company has initiated the name change formatities to transfer the title in respect of the other properties, contracts etc.
2.3 Appeal against the order sanctioning the Scheme

Appeals against the other than the control of the Honorable High Court of Andhra Pradesh approving the Scheme of merger have been filed by two parties before the Division Bench of the Honorable High Court of Andhra Pradesh. No interim orders have been passed and the appeals are pending hearing.

One of the said party has also appealed against the order of the single judge rejecting the Petition for winding up of erstwhile Salyam. The matter has beer combined with the above appeal for hearing.

3. Matters pertaining to erstwhile Saryam Computer Services Limited (erstwhile Salyam):

3.1 Investigation by authorities in India in the letter of Jun 7, 2009 (the **retler**) of Mr. B. Ramalings Raju, the then Chairman of erstwhile Salyam, admitted that the Balance Sheet of enstwhile Salyam as at September 30, 2008 carried an inflated cash and bank balances, non-existent accrued interest, an understated liability and an overstated debtors position .

Consequently, various regulators/investigating agencies such as the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI), Serious Fraud Investigation Office (SFIO) / Regist of Companies (ROC), Directorate of Enforcement (ED), etc., had initiated their investigation on various matters which are yet to be concluded.

As per the assessment of the Management, based on the forensic investigation and the information available up to this stage, all identified/frequire adjustments/disclosures arising from the identified financial irregularities, had been made in the financial statements of entwhile Salyam as at March 31, 2009.

Considerable time has elapsed after the initiation of investigation by various agencies and enshibite Sahyam had not received any further information as a result of the various organic presentations against enshibite Sahyam which required adjustments to the financial statements.

Further, no new claims have been made when the Andria Pradesh High Court considered and approved the merger which need any further evaluation/adjustment/disclosure in the books, and all existing claims have been appropriately dealt with/recorded/disclosed in the books based on their currer status.

Considering the above, notwithstanding the pendency of the various investigations/ proceedings, the Management is of the view that the above investigations/proceedings would not result in any additional material provisions/ write-offs/adjustments (other than those already provided for, written-off of sicosedy in the financial statements of the Company.

disclosed) in the financial statements of the Company. 3.2 Forensic Investigation and nature of financial irregularities Consequent to the aforesaid letter, the Government nominated Board of Directors of enstwhile Satyam appointed an independent counsel "Counsel") to condi-an investigation of the financial regularities. The Counsel appointed forensic accountants to assist in the investigation (referred to as "forensic investigation and preparation of the financial statements of enstwhile Satyam.

The forensic investigation conducted by the forensic accountants investigated accounting records to identify the extent of financial irregularities and mair focused on the period from April 1, 200 c to spetember 30,000, being the last date up to which restrivible Satyam published its financial results prior to the date of the letter. In certain instances, the forensic accountants conducted investigation procedures outside this period.

The forensic investigation had originally indicated possible diversion aggregating USD 41 Million from the proceeds of the American Depositary Shares (ADS relating to erstwhile Salyam. The amount was revised to USD 19 Million based on the further details of utilisation of ADS proceeds obtained by erstwhile Salyam.

The overall impact of the fictitious entries and unrecorded transactions arising out of the forensic investigation, to the extend teleprocess occurred in the financial statements for the financial year ended March 31, 2009 of erstwhile Salyam as at March 31, 2009 ounder 'Unexplained differences suspense account (ret)' comprising (i) Rs. 1731 lakhs (not debit) was identified in the financial statements of erstwhile Salyam as at March 31, 2009 under 'Unexplained differences suspense account (ret)' comprising (i) Rs. 1731 lakhs (not debit) where complete information was not available and (ii) Rs. 112021 lakhs (not debit) being ficilitious assets and unrecorded leans in the opening balance as at April 2002. Or ground of prudence, these amounts had been provided for by entwhile Salyam in the financial year ended March 31, 2009. As there is no further therains available with the Management even after the lapse of three years, the said amount of Rs. 113932 lakhs has been written of in the financial statements of the Company for the half year ended September 30, 2013.

The forensic investigation was unable to identify the nature of certain alleged transactions aggregating Rs. 123040 lakhs (net receipt) against which erstwhile Satyam had received legal notices from 37 companies claiming repayment of this amount which was allegedly given as temporary advances. Refer Note 3.3

Consequent to the letter of the eratwhile Chairman, on January 8, 2009, the eratwhile Satyam received letters from thirty seven companies requesting confirmation by way of acknowledgement for receipt of certain alleged amounts referred to as "alleged advances". These letters were followed by legal notices from these companies dated August 45, 2009, claiming repayment of Res. 123040 Lakins alleged given as temporary advanced to legal notices also claim damaged compensation (819% per annum from date of advance till date of repayment. The eratwhile Satyam has not acknowledged any liability to any of the thirty seven companies and has replied to the legal notices stating that the claims are legally unlenable.

thirty seven companies and has replied to the legal notices stating that the claims are legally untenable.

The Directorate of Enforcement (ED) is investigating the matter under the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 (PMLA*) and directed the erstwhile Salyam to the claims are legally untenable.

The Directorate of Enforcement (ED) is investigating the matter under the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 (PMLA*) and directed the erstwhile Salyam not the claims are legally undenable.

In furtherance to the investigation by the ED, the erstwhile Salyam was served under the prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 (PMLA*) and directed the erstwhile Salyam and under Section (51) of the PMLA of the prevention of Money Laundering and the PMLA of the CD o

The remaining petitions are at a preliminary stage before the Court, for considering condonation of delay in re-submission of pauper petitions. In one petition, the delay had been condoned by the Court and the Company has obtained an interim stay order from the Honorable High Court of Andhra Pradesh.

The erstwhile Salyam had received legal notices from nearly all of the above companies, calling for payment of the amounts allegedly advanced by their (including interest and damages), falling which they would be constrained to file a petition for winding up the affairs of Salyam. In pursuance thereof, one of the darcesald companies filed a winding up petition that was deminssed by the High Court. Against the sall order of dismissal, the aforementioned company has filed an appeal before the Division Bench of High Court of Andhra Pradesh which is pending hearing.

Furthermore, even in connection with the merger proceedings, the eratwhile Salyam had received letters from the aloresal companies claiming themselves to be "creditors". They had pleaded inter-alia before the High Court (hearing the merger petition of the eratwhile Salyam with the Company) that the mandatory provisions governing the scheme under the Companies Act have not been complied with in so far as convening a meeting of the creditor is concerned. They contended that without convening a meeting of the creditors and hearing their objections, the merger scheme could not be proceeded with.

To address these and other related objections, the High Court directed the Official Liquidator, with the assistance of a firm of Chartered Accountants ("the firm") to scrutinise the books of the erstwhile Satyam and submit a report on the allegations aforesaid including the accounting system adopted by it with respect to the

to scrutinise the books of the erstwhile Satyam and submit a report on the allegations aforesaid including the accounting system adopted by it with respect to the allegad advances.

The firm, in their report, inter-alia, stated that the erstwhile Satyam under its new management, was justified in not treating these amounts as creditors and in classifying these allegad advances as "Amounts pending investigation suspense account (not!)".

The High Court after considering the report of the firm and other contentions of the erstwhile Satyam, held inter-alia, in its order approxing the merger of the erstwhile Satyam is retaining homeour of the "creditors" and not paying them does not appear to be valid and further held that any right of the objecting creditors can be considered only if the genuineness of the debt is proved beyond doubt which is not so in this case.

The High Court in its order, further held that in the absence of Board resolutions and documents evidencing acceptance of unsecured loans by the former management of the erstwhile Satyam is justified in not crediting the amounts received in their names and not showing them as creditors and further reflecting such amounts as Amounts pending investigation suspense account (net).



Revenue for the quarter at Rs.47,715 Mn, up 16% sequentially

Profit from operations for the quarter at Rs. 9,889 Mn, up 32% sequentially

Tech Mahindra Limited
Consolidated Audited Financial Results for the Quarter and half year ended September 30th, 2013

Particulars	3 months ended 30-September-2013
B. INVESTORS COMPLAINTS	
Pending at the beginning of the quarter	0
Received during the quarter	39
Disposed of during the quarter	39
Remaining unresolved at the end of the quarter	0

Stand-Alone Information (Audited)						Rs. in Lakhs	
	Qu	Quarter ended			Half year ended		
Particulars	September 30, 2013	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	March 31, 2013	
Income from Operations	415,624	355,288	150,787	770,912	300,287	600,189	
Profit before tax	87,547	79,003	17,426	166,550	39,331	81.722	
Profit after tax	63 864	59 887	16.013	123 751	22.762	GE 252	

imary Segments e Company Identifies its Primary Business Segments based on the type of services offered, i.e. IT Services & BPO services

Segment wise Revenue, Results and Capital Employed						Rs. in Lakhs
	Qu	Half year ended		Year ended		
Particulars	September 30, 2013	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	March 31, 2013
Segment Revenue				20,000		2010
a) IT	433,717	368,274	140,861	801.991	279,668	580,571
b) BPO	43,432	42,049	22,279	85,481	37.814	106,737
Total	477,149	410,323	163,140	887,472	317,482	687,308
Less: Inter Segment Revenue	-	-	-			
Net Sales / Income from operations	477,149	410,323	163,140	887,472	317,482	687,308
Segment Profit before tax, interest and depreciation						
a) IT	169,166	135,178	51,081	304,344	102.078	212,111
b) BPO	19,724	18,191	8,656	37.915	15.183	41,302
Total	188,890	153,369	59,737	342,259	117,261	253,413
Less:					1000	
(i) Finance costs	2,415	2,230	2.280	4,645	4,683	10,304
(ii) Other un-allocable expenditure Net off un-allocable income	86,192	57,934	37,171	144,126	67.620	138,455
Profit before tax	100,283	93,205	20,286	193,488	44,958	104,654

The quarterly results have been reviewed by the Audit Committee and taken on records by the Board of Directors in its meeting held on 7th No.

2. Scheme of Amalgamation:
Pursuant to the Scheme of Amalgamation & Arrangement (the "Scheme') sanctioned by the Honorable High Court of Andrra Pradesh vide its order dated June
11, 2013 and the Honorable High Court of Judicature at Bombay vide its order dated September 28, 2012, Venturbay Consultants Private Limited ("Venturbay"),
Carnwalt Technologies Limited ("Carnwalth") and Mahindra Logisoft Business Solutions Limited ("Logisoft"), the wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company, and
Salyam Computer Services Limited ("Carnwalth") and Mahindra Logisoft Business Solutions Limited ("Logisoft"), the wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company, and
Salyam Computer Services Limited ("Carnwalth") and Mahindra Logisoft Business Solutions Limited ("Logisoft"), the wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company, and
solution of Salyam, merged with the Company with effect from April 1, 2011 (the "appointed date"). The Scheme came into effect on June 24, 2013, the
day on which both the orders were delivered to the Registrar of the Companies, and pursuant thereto the entire business and the assets and liabilities, duties
and Obligations of Salyam, Venturbay, Carnwash, Logisoft and C&S have been transferred to and vested in the Company with effect from April 1, 2011.

and congauons of salyam, Venturbay, CanvasM, Logisoft and C&S have been transferred to and vested in the Company with effect from April 1, 2011.

In accordance with the Scheme, the investments held in the respective subsidiaries and associate have been cancelled and the Company has issued 2 equity shares.

The Company transferred, out of its total holding in Salyam, 2040 Lakhs equity shares to a Trust, to hold the shares and any additions or accretions thereto exclusively for the benefit of the Company. The balance shares held by the Company in Salyam have been cancelled.

As the other amalgamating companies is e. Venturbay, Logisoft, Canwash and C & S were wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company / Salyam, as applicable, no equity shares were exchanged to effect the amalgamation in respect thereof.

These amalgamations with the Company are non-canh transactions.

2.1 Accounting treatment of the amalgamation

The amalgamation is accounted under the 'pooling of interest' method as per Accounting Standard 14 as notified under Section 211(3C) of the Companies Act, 1956 and as modified under the Scheme as under:

All assets and liabilities (including contingent liabilities), reserves. benefits under income tay benefits for each under section 211(3C) of the Companies Act,

All assets and liabilities (including contingent liabilities), reserves. benefits under income tay benefits for each under section 211(3C) of the Companies Act,

All assets and liabilities (including contingent liabilities), reserves. benefits under income tay benefits for each under section 211(3C) and the Company and the section 211(3C) and the Companies Act,

All assets and liabilities (including contingent liabilities), reserves. benefits under income tay benefits for each under section 211(3C) and the Companies Act,

All assets and liabilities (including contingent liabilities).

1300 and as modined under the Scheme as under:

* All assets and fashibities (including contingent liabilities), reserves, benefits under income tax, benefits for and under special economic zone registrations, dutie
and obligations of Salyam, Venturbay, CanvasM, Logisoft and C&S have been recorded in the books of account of the Company at their existing carrying amount
and in the same form.

*The amount of Share Capital of Venturbay, CanvasM, Logisoft, Salyam and C&S have been adjusted against the corresponding investment balances held by th
Company in the amalgamating companies and the equity shares issued by the Company pursuant to the Scheme and the excess of investments (gross) over th
Share Capital, as given below, have been adjusted to reserves ("Antalgamation Reserve").

*Accordingly, the amalgamation has resulted in transfer of assets and liabilities in accordance with the terms of the Scheme at the following summarised values:

Particulars	Amount
Fixed Assets (net)	84.930
Capital Work in Progress	22.518
Non-Current Investments	325,250
Deferred Tax Asset	16,805
Current Investments	-
Trade Receivables	169.340
Cash and cash Equivalents	210,040
Other cash and bank balances	64,000
Loans and Advances (long term and short term)	209,190
Liabilities and provisions (long term and short term)	(370,250)
Long-Term and Short-Term Borrowings	(2,143)
Net Assets	729,680
Net difference between Investments and share capital of amalgamating companies	(13,570)
Add : Equity shares issued pursuant to the scheme of amalgamation	10,349
Debit balance in statement of profit and loss as of April 01, 2011	28,113
Debit balance in Amalgamation reserve	24,892

• Further, in accordance with the Scheme, the debit balance in the Amalgamation Reserve as of April 1, 2011, if any, pursuant to the amalgamation have adjusted against the securities premium account. The application and reduction of the securities premium account is effected as an integral part of the sanc Scheme which is also deemed to be the order under Section 102 of the Companies Act, 1956 (the "Act") confirming the reduction coordingly, the art balance in Amalgamation Reserve aggregating Rs. 24892 Lakhs: as of April 1, 2011 has been adjusted against the securities premium account.

In view of the aforesaid developments and also based on legal opinion, the erstwhile Salyam's management's view, which is also the Company's Management's view. that the claim regarding the repayment of "alleged advances" (including interest thereon) of the 37 companies are not legally tensible has been reinforced. Accordingly, the Company's Management believes that, even in the unlikely event that the principal amount of the claim of the Company's Management believes that, even in the unlikely event that the principal amount of the claim of the Company is required to repay these amounts, such an eventuality should not have an adverse bearing on either the Company's profits or its received in that period, since the Company has been legally advised than to interest would be payable even in such an unlikely event and unlikely event and unlikely event that the company is company has been legally advised than to interest would be payable even in such an unlikely event.

However, notwithstanding the above, pending the final outcome of the recovery suit filed by the 37 companies in the City Civil Court and the ED matter under the PMLA pending before the High Court, the Company, as a matter of prudence, at this point of time, is continuing to classify the amounts of the seleged advance as "Amountap ending investigation" a suspense (net)", and the same would be appropriately dealt with/reclassified when the final come becomes clearer.

3.4. Provision for taxation

3.4. Provision for taxation
The entablies Salyam was carrying a total amount of Rs. 49892 Lakins (net of taxes paid) as at March 31, 2013 (before giving effect to its amalgamation with the Company) towards provision for texation, including for the prior years for which the assessments are under dispute. Considering the effects of financial inequalities in the prior years, status of disputed tax demands and the appeals? I claims pending before the various authorities, the consequent significant uncertainties regarding the outcome of these matters and the determination of the tax and interestipentally itability that may be levied in the event of an unfavorable order being finalistic exhabiting salyam was pix assessments are under dispute. Accordingly, such provisions have been relatined in the books.

The Company is evaluating the effect of the possible outcomes of tax matters in dispute relating to the restwitle Salyam and will make the required adjustments to such provision relating to prior years on completion of the exercise.

4. The Board of Directors in its meeting held on June 25, 2013 had fixed July 5, 2013 as the Record Date for determining the shareholders of erstwite Salyam who would be entitled to receive shares of the Company in the ratio of 2 cquity Shares of Rs. 10⁴ each fully paid up in respect of 17 equity shares of Rs. 20⁴ each fully paid up of entablic Salyam in accordance with the approved Scheme of Amalgamation and Arrangement. On July 6, 2013, the Securities Aldornet and the Board Officeriors of the Company have allotted 10348396 equity shares of face. 20⁴ each fully paid up of entablic Salyam ranking part-pass until all respects with the existing equity shares of the Company to the shareholders of establic Salyam ranking part-pass until all respects with the existing equity shares of the Company to the shareholders of establic Salyam ranking part-pass until respects with the existing equity shares of the Company to the shareholders of establic Salyam ranking part-pass until all respec

Post allotment of shares on July 6, 2013, in accordance with the Scheme of Amalgamation and Arrangement, the revised Pror Shareholding has been given effect in the books of the Company. The shareholding after the allotment was as below.

2	Public Sh	Promoters and Promoter Group Shareholding		
Particulars	Number of shares	Percentage of shareholding	Number of shares	Percentage of shareholding
Shareholding	147,518,882	63.52%	84,736,978	36.48%

5. The results for the quarter and half year ended 30th September 2013 include the results of merged entities and its subsidiaries giving effect to the scheme discussed in note 2 above, while the results of the corresponding periods in the previous years and the previous year ended March 31, 2013 does not include the results of the merged entities and hence the same are not comparable.

6. Previous period figures have been regrouped/rearranged wherever necessary.

7. The standalone financial results have been made available to the Stock Exchanges where the company's securities are listed and are posted on the company's website www. techmaihindra.com.

8. The qualifications in the Auditions' Report for the half year ended September 30, 2013, are summarised below;

The Judich has qualified the report on the following ground;

8.1 Wilh respect to the matters described in Note 3.3 above, in the absence of complete / required information, and since the matter is sub-judice their inability to comment on the accounting treatment/adjustments/disclosures relating to the aforesaid alleged advances amounting to Rs.

123040 Lakhs (rest) and the related claims for damages/compensation/interest, which may become necessary as a result of the ongoing legal proceedings and the consequential impact, if any, on these financial results. However, in the eventuality of any payment upto Rs. 123040 lakhs, against the aforesaid claims for the principal amounts of the alleged advances, there will be no impact on the profits/losses or reserves of the Company.

8.2 With respect to the matters described in Note 3.4 above, their inability to comment on the adequacy or otherwise of the provision for taxation pertain the aforesaid prior years of erstwhile Salyam for which the assessments are under dispute and the consequential impact, if any, on those financial results.

 Response to Auditors' qualification
 1.1 With regard to the auditors' qualification in note 8.1 above, refer to the details in note 3.3. 9.2 With regard to the auditors' qualification in note 8.2 above, refer to the details in note 3.4.

Statement o	Assets	and	Liabilities	(Consolidated - Audited)
				Tremental Hanney

Particulars	As at 30th September 2013	As at 31st March 2013
A. EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		
1. Shareholders' Funds	1.	
(a) Share Capital	23.239	12.812
(b) Reserves and Surplus	720,333	529,720
2. Share application money pending for allotment	278	28
3. Minority Interest	13,090	10,894
4. Non Current Liabilities		
(a) Long-Term Borrowings	1.592	30.000
(b) Other Long-Term Liabilities	74.273	22.548
(c) Long-Term Provisions	42.270	20,628
5. Current Liabilities	1	
(a) Short-Term Borrowings	0	78.040
(b) Trade Payables	140.158	74,143
(c) Other Current Liabilities	228.517	86,850
(d) Short-Term Provisions	120,456	32,776
6. Amount Pending Investigation Suspense Account	123,040	
TOTAL - EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	1,487,246	898,439
3. ASSETS		
1. Non-Current Assets		
(a) Fixed Assets	224,899	93,820
(b) Non-Current Investments	121,865	392,416
(c) Deferred Tax Asset	40,293	15,111
(d) Long-Term Loans and Advances	65,811	53,805
(e) Other Non-Current Assets	1.724	100
(f) Goodwill on Consolidation	50,628	34,072
2. Current Assets	11	
(a) Current Investments	6,832	17.448
(b) Inventory	1.137	1,102
(c) Trade Receivables	422,361	170,358
(d) Cash and Cash Equivalents	318,832	53,580
(e) Short-Term Loans and Advances	111,496	42,872
(f) Other Current Assets	121,368	23,755
TOTAL - ASSETS Date : 7th November, 2013	1,487,246	898,439



Rs. in Lakhs